

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,709	07/19/2000	ANDREAS FERENCZ	H2933/3578UA	9120
759	90 11/27/2002			
Rocco S. Barrese, Esq. Dilworth & Barrese, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
Uniondale, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	/~
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(e	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 0	
Office Action Occurrence	09/555709	Ferencz	iz etal.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Sucrt	Group Art	Unit	
		1713	<del></del>	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet be	eneath the corresponde	nce address	
Peri d for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM TH	E MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> </ul>	eply within the statutory minim , expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) days will be c	onsidered timely. nunication .	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	sher 21, 20	02		
☐ This action is FINAL.				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>	t for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to the merits	is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1, 19-47		is/are pending in t	he application.	
,		is/are withdrawn f		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s) 19-47		is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)—————		are subject to rest	nction or election	
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.			
		- disamprayed		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		⊔ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are object		□ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		⊔ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are objection		⊔ disapproved.		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>		⊔ disapproved.		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> </ul>	cted to by the Examiner.  . Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	·(d).		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of preceived.</li> </ul>	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	(d). ave been		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> </ul>	cted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)  Ithe priority documents h	(d). ave been		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>PrI rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)</li> </ul>	eted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-  Ithe priority documents have the	(d). ave been 		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Interest of t</li></ul>	eted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-  Ithe priority documents have the	(d). ave been 		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a</li></ul>	eted to by the Examiner.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-  Ithe priority documents haver)  Iternational Bureau (PCT forms)	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	413	
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest of the CERTIFIED copies of the certified copies not received:</li></ul>	ender 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- ithe priority documents haver) ernational Bureau (PCT in the proof of the priority documents have been)	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/555,709

Art Unit: 1712

This action is in response to the request for continued examination (RCE) and preliminary amendment filed on October 21, 2002.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 19, 20, 22-41, 43-45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support for component A that comprises an aromatic-containing polyester is not apparent. The subgenus of aromatic-containing polyesters is not described in the specification as filed. The only species of aromatic-containing polyesters described for use in the invention are those synthesized from an aromatic polycarboxylic acid, an aliphatic polycarboxylic acid and an alcohol component. See the specification at page 9, line 26 through page 14, line 16 and examples. Since the application as filed contained neither a description of the subgenus aromatic-containing polyesters nor a description of species that in the aggregate amount to the same thing, it does not support a subgenus of aromatic-containing polyesters as component A. See *In re Welstead* 174 USPQ 449 (CCPA 1972).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1 and 19-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller. The reference teaches an adhesive composition comprising a blend of amorphous polyesters that have an inherent viscosity from 0.1 to 0.6 and a Tg below 60° C. The number average (M<sub>n</sub>) molecular weight of the adhesive composition can be as high as 20,000 with a preferred molecular weight as high as 10,000. See col. 5, line 3 through col. 9, line 52. While lower molecular weight adhesives are preferred for wider application, higher molecular weight adhesive have higher tensile strength and peel strength. See col. 8, lines 47-54. It would have been obvious to select a polyester having a number average molecular weight above 8,000 as one of the amorphous polyesters and use in combination with an amorphous polyester having a molecular weight below 8,000 and a Tg below 60° C in order to obtain adhesives having higher molecular weights and improved tensile strength and peel strength.

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

P. Short November 21, 2002 Phone (703) 308-2395 Fax (703) 872-9310

Pata a SW